Buffer Sizing: "Layer 8+" Aspects

Simon Leinen, SWITCH <u>simon.leinen@switch.ch</u>
Buffer Sizing workshop, Stanford, 5 March 2019

Economics

- Buffer sizes used for product differentiation
 - Between vendors (our products are better because buffers are deeper)
 - Between product classes (you want to use "carrier-grade" equipment for your important network, not the cheap stuff)

Economics

- What do operators optimize for?
 - Wide variety of goals:
 - High utilization (less than in past? Under what assumptions about traffic load?)
 - Single-stream TCP throughput over long RTTs (I2 Land Speed Record) maybe still relevant?
 - Throughput
 - Losslessness
 - 99%ile xyz completion times
 - Median ...
 - "Fairness" (but what kind?)
 - ...

Risk aversion

- Ops want assurance new recommendations don't make things worse
 - How much of my "too big" buffers am I using today?
 - Only practical way to find out: Artificially limit queue sizes, look for drops
- Need a kind of "MRTG for buffer occupancy"?
 - Simple but ubiquitous tool to look at historical buffer utilization
 - All chipsets seem to have *some* support to sample buffer fill state in data path
 - Routers & switches often fail to give operators access to these

Incentives in research vs. deployment

- "The X proposal mostly works well" is not novel/exciting
- "The X proposal falls down under some conditions" is better
- "Counter-proposal Y works better than X in these cases" even better
- Possible result:
 - Vibrant research area
 - Confused operators
 - Nothing gets deployed in practice (cf. AQM work)
- Let dust settle before pushing towards production deployment?

What could help deployment?

- Tools to provide transparency (see above)
- Socialization of experimental results in operator communities
- ...a battle cry ("bufferbloat")?